

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.   FILING DATE   FIRST NAMED INVENTOR   ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.   CONFIRMATION NO.			•			
HUMAN GENOME SCIENCES INC 9410 KEY WEST AVENUE ROCKVILLE, MD 20850  EXAMINER  BELYAVSKYI, MICHAIL A  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER  1644	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
HUMAN GENOME SCIENCES INC 9410 KEY WEST AVENUE ROCKVILLE, MD 20850  BELYAVSKYI, MICHAIL A  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER  1644	10/020,139	12/18/2001	Roxanne Duan	PF348C1	7037	
9410 KEY WEST AVENUE ROCKVILLE, MD 20850  BELYAVSKYI, MICHAIL A  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER  1644	22195 7	7590 05/28/2003				
ROCKVILLE, MD 20850  BELYAVSKYI, MICHAIL A  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER  1644  1644			NC	EXAMINER		
1644				BELYAVSKYI, MICHAIL A		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DATE MAILED: 05/28/2003 /		•		1644	15	
				DATE MAILED: 05/28/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/020,139	DUAN ET AL.				
Advisory Addon	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Michail A Belyavskyi	1644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the c ver sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 14 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
<ul><li>(d)  they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>						
$3. \boxtimes$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s): <u>U.S.C.112, second parac</u>	graph.				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	· · ·		and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-14,18-34 and 36.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
B. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer		-				
10. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>						
TO.EA Other. See Continuation Street						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 10. Other: . In view of the amendment, filed 5/14/03 only rejection under U.S..C. 112, first paragragh remain. Applicant's arguments, filed 05/14/03 ( Paper No. 14) have been fully considered, but have not been found convincing essentially for the same reasons set forth in the previous Office Action, Paper No:13, mailed 3/24/03.

CHRISTINA CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600